Abstract: In this paper the problem of evaluation of the bound accuracy for range based localization techniques in wireless sensor networks is considered. The comprehensive analysis of the existing solution of the Cramer-Rao lower bound problem for the anchored localization based on the time of arrival or relative signal strength principles shows certain discrepancy between an analytical solution and simulation results. Therefore, a new bound for this problem has been developed on the basis of more accurate stochastic modeling of the localization error.
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1. Introduction

The availability of location information in a wireless network plays the vital role in such applications as geographical routing, target tracking and environmental monitoring, and provides a possibility to complete these problems in a more efficient (in terms of energy, latency, etc.) way. Another important aspect of the localization methods design consists in the comparison of their performance with certain theoretical limits, a.k.a. bounds, on the localization error. Among numerous existing bounds, the Cramer-Rao lower bound (CRLB) is probably the most popular tool for the benchmarking of performance of localization methods. Initially originated from the radar and remote sensing techniques [1-3], the existing solution of the CRLB problem was directly applied to the task of nodes localization in wireless sensor networks (WSN) [7,9-11]. However, obtained under certain assumptions, which are not valid anymore in the WSN, the sensor localization CRLB requires a new and more detailed consideration.

The main contribution of this paper consists in the improved solution of the CRLB for one-hop nodes localization based on the independent range estimations is investigated. The problem consideration is restricted to pair-wise (anchor-node) measurements under static topology conditions. Also, the node localization under only ideal electromagnetic wave propagation conditions (absence of multipath, diffraction etc.) is analyzed. The localization problem consideration is restricted to a 2D case, whereas the extension to the higher dimensions cases is straightforward.

In wireless communications each anchor can be considered as a point source of the electromagnetic radiation, thus, the polar coordinate system is used as the most natural way to describe the pair-wise (anchor-node) measurements. Therefore, using measurements \( \psi = [r, \varphi] \), where \( \psi_i = [r_i, \varphi_i] \) denotes values of the range \( r_i \) and angle \( \varphi_i \) that are obtained by means of the \( i \)-th anchor with coordinates \( \xi_i = [\xi_{iX}, \xi_{iY}] \), a vector of parameters \( \omega = [\omega_x, \omega_y] \) should be estimated. This vector describes a position of the node \( N \) in the polar coordinate system (Fig. 1).

Therefore, the CRLB of the node \( N \) localization, which is evaluated based on the \( \psi \), is supposed to be introduced in the Cartesian coordinates as well. The problem analysis is elaborated using the probabilistic description of the node \( N \) position. Then, the CRLB is represented as:

\[
\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \text{CRLB}(\theta) =
\left[-\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{c}^2 \ln p_{\text{2D}}(\tilde{\xi}|\theta)/\tilde{c}^2\right]\right]^{-1} = I^{-1}(\theta),
\]  

where \( I(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{c}^2 \ln p_{\text{2D}}(\tilde{\xi}|\theta)/\tilde{c}^2\right] \) is the Fisher information matrix and \( p_{\text{2D}}(\tilde{\xi}|\theta) = L(\theta) \) denotes a likelihood function that is associated with measurement data.
3. Proposed solution to CRLB problem

We start the analysis by an accurate stochastic modeling of the localization procedure. The problem linearization, which physically means assumption about plane wave propagation in far-field communications, is not valid in the description of localization problems in WSN.

The analysis of the localization problem is based on the definition of joint pdf of measurements which can be written based on the chain rule for probabilities as:

\[
p(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) = p_\xi(\xi_1) \cdot p_\xi(\xi_2 | \xi_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot p_\xi(\xi_n | \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_\xi(\xi_i),
\]

(2)

where the last step is possible due to the independence of the measurements. The localization procedure does not also depend on the coordinate system used for its description, therefore:

\[
L(\omega | \psi) = \prod_{i=1}^m p_\psi(\psi_i | \omega) = \prod_{i=1}^m p_\psi(z_i | \omega) = L(\omega | z).
\]

(3)

According to (1) the \(CRLB(\omega)\) in the polar coordinate system is equal to:

\[
\text{var}(\hat{\omega}) \geq -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\psi | \omega)}{\partial \omega^2}\right]^{-1}.
\]

(4)

Then, in the Cartesian coordinates \(CRLB(\theta)\) will be determined as:

\[
\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{\partial f(\omega)}{\partial \omega} \left[-E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\psi | \omega)}{\partial \omega^2}\right]\right]^{-1}\frac{\partial f(\omega)}{\partial \omega},
\]

(5)

where \(f(\omega)\) is the function that defines a transformation of the coordinate system. \(J = \frac{\partial f(\omega)}{\partial \omega}\) is the Jacobian matrix, such that:

\[
\omega = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_x \\ \omega_y \end{bmatrix}; \quad \theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_x \\ \theta_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_x \cos \omega_y \\ \omega_x \sin \omega_y \end{bmatrix},
\]

with

\[
J = \frac{\partial f(\omega)}{\partial \omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \omega_y & -\omega_y \sin \omega_y \\ \sin \omega_y & \omega_y \cos \omega_y \end{bmatrix}.
\]

(6)

It has to be highlighted, that the 2D likelihood function in the existing solution is defined only by range measurement projections causing singularity of the Fisher information matrix, while the proposed solution operates with both the range and angle estimation models. The fact that angle measurements are not carried out is interpreted in the proposed solution as the angle measurement with uniformly distributed support error, creating a random variable on the circle [12]. Taking into account the independence of polar coordinates, the error of node localization by each anchor can be divided onto independent components, which are related with range and angle estimations as:

\[
p(\psi, \phi | \omega_x, \omega_y) = p(r | \omega_x) p(\phi | \omega_y).
\]

(7)

The range estimation is described by the Gaussian distributed (3) ambiguity, whereas:

\[
p(\phi | \omega_y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ H(\phi + \pi) - H(\phi - \pi) \right], \quad \phi \in [-\pi, \pi),
\]

(8)

where \(H(\cdot)\) is the Heaviside function. Then, the Fisher information matrix for the \(CRLB(\omega)\) is equal to

\[
I_c(\omega) = -\begin{bmatrix} E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(r | \omega_y)}{\partial \omega_y^2}\right] & 0 \\ 0 & E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\phi | \omega_y)}{\partial \omega_y^2}\right] \end{bmatrix}
\]

(9)

where

\[
E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\psi | \omega)}{\partial \omega^2}\right]_{\omega} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\psi | \omega)}{\partial \omega^2 \partial \omega_y}\right]_{\omega} = 0
due to the independence of the coordinates of polar coordinate system, and

\[
E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(r | \omega_y)}{\partial \omega_y^2}\right]_{\omega} = 1/\sigma_r^2 \quad [3,4].
\]

The closed form solution for \(-E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\phi | \omega_y)}{\partial \omega_y^2}\right]_{\omega}\) is still a challenging problem. However, inverting statement of (1): the \(CRLB\) is the lower bound of any unbiased estimator, one can conclude that the \(CRLB\) itself is upper bounded by the variance of any such estimator. Therefore, in the Fisher information matrix this value is approximated by the variance of the parameter estimation using, for instance, maximum likelihood approach that is known to be asymptotically efficient:

\[
\ln p(\phi | \omega_y) = \ln \prod_{i=1}^m p(\phi | \omega_y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \ln p(\phi | \omega_y) = -m \ln (2\pi), \quad \phi \in [-\pi, \pi).
\]

(10)

Solving \(\partial \ln p(\phi | \omega_y) / \partial \omega_y = 0\), the left-hand side of this equation is a constant being independent of \(\omega_y\),
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therefore, \( \hat{\phi}_y = \forall \phi_y \in [-\pi, \pi) \). This means, that for the measurement made by \( i \)th anchor any value of the angle can be considered as the estimation of the angle of the node \( N \) position. Due to the closed space of the support for real angles, which are random variables on the circle, the maximum likelihood estimation of the angle with uniform error distribution is asymptotically unbiased because of the symmetry of the error pdf, i.e.,

\[
E[\hat{\phi}_y] = \phi_y, \quad \text{where support symmetry is conditioned by the properties of distribution on the circle.}
\]

The variance of this estimator is equal to:

\[
\sigma^2 = E[(\phi - \hat{\phi}_y)^2] = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi^2 \, p(\phi|\phi_y) \, d\phi = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} [H(\phi + \pi) - H(\phi - \pi)] \, d\phi = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{12}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\left[ -E[\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\phi|\phi_y)}{\partial \phi_y^2}] \right]^{-1} = \text{var}(\hat{\phi}_y) \leq \frac{(2\pi)^2}{12}
\]

and the Fisher information is defined as follows:

\[
I(\phi_y) \leq \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Using the additive property of the Fisher information the \( I(\theta) \) based on the \( m \) independent pair-wise measurements can be written as:

\[
I(\theta) = \sum_{i=m}^{m} I(\phi_y_i),
\]

where \( I(\phi_y) \) denotes the Fisher information about vector \( \theta \) evaluated based in the \( i \)th anchor measurement.

The comparison of the CRLB problem solutions has been performed using geometrical dilution of precision \( \text{GDOP} \) \[8\]. This parameter is derived based on the CRLB and often used for the localization benchmarking providing an integral evaluation of the localization ambiguity. Using the setup from \[5\] the dependence of the \( \text{GDOP} \) from anchors locations based on the existing and proposed solutions have been obtained (Fig. 2). The presented results demonstrate same qualitative behavior, i.e., the positions of minima and maxima of the \( \text{GDOPs} \):

\[
\text{GDOP} = \min(\text{GDOP}) \quad \text{if} \quad \phi_i \in \phi_i + \{\pi/3, 2\pi/3, 4\pi/3, 5\pi/3\} \cup \phi_i \in \{\pi - \phi_i, 2\pi - \phi_i, 3\pi - \phi_i\}.
\]

However, with the proposed solution of the CRLB problem, the \( \text{GDOP} \) achieves the finite non-zero values that correspond to the finite localization ambiguities defined in terms of the entropy of a separate anchor localization.

4. Conclusions

In this paper the problem of node localization bounds in the anchored wireless sensor networks has been investigated. Focusing on the CRLB approach of the localization error evaluation, it has been shown the lack of accuracy of the existing solution of this problem. Based on the more accurate measurement model an improved solution of the CRLB problem for the TOA/RSS localization in the WSN has been developed and the impact of the network topology on the localization precision has been investigated. It has been shown that the proposed solution overcomes the drawback of the existing one.

Future work in this area will consist in the investigation of the conditions of CRLB applications as well as in development of information-theoretic criteria...
of the localization problem in WSN. Another extension will be focused on the application of the proposed solution to the error propagation model in multi-hop localization problems.
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УГОНОЧЕНИЙ РОЗ’ЯЗКОК ГРАНИЦІ РАО-КРАМЕРА У ЗАДАЧАХ TOA/RSS ЛОКАЛІЗАЦІЇ

Т. Голотяк, С. Волощиновський, Ж. Ролім, І. Прудуис

У цій статті розглядається проблема оцінки нижньої граніці середньоквадратичної похибки локалізації об'єктів у сенсорних мережах на підставі методів вимірювання відстані. Аналіз існуючих розв’язків нерівності Рао-Крамера при локалізації об'єктів на основі вимірювання часу приходу сигналу або його відносного загасання показав розходження між аналітичним рішенням та результатами моделювання. Таким чином, використовуючи точніше стохастичного моделювання, нова нижня границя похибки локалізації запропонована у цій статті.