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Abstract - The role and gives a classification of synchronization algorithms spatially separated measures time and frequency. For comparison algorithms introduced criteria that consider the example of one of the algorithms.
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Synchronicity (comparison or collation) geographically dispersed measures (in particular standards) time and frequency is necessary for time and frequency metrology, navigation, radio astronomy, radar, direction finding, etc. The rate of improving standards, the relative instability of which reached $10^{-15}$–$10^{-16}$, determine the relevance of improving systems to be synchronized [1, 2].

In the systems synchronize geographically dispersed measures (standards) play a special role synchronization algorithms (SA) - the order of the radiation, reception and processing of signals in paragraphs placement standards of time, which, after accounting for the delay in the channel of propagation (CP) and the apparatus measures the shear scales of measurement standards.

In terms of radiation signals in paragraphs SA can be divided into three types [2, 3]: one-way algorithm (the signals are emitted only from one point) algorithm overall coverage («common-view»), based on the input signal is a common source, a group of active algorithms (signals are emitted in each of the points - 1).

![Fig. 1 - The timing diagram of the active algorithm](image)

For comparison, the AU proposes criteria: performance accuracy (errors and measurement uncertainty with regard to [4]) components, the correlation and measurement uncertainty; information and energy data, particularly the technical implementation (according to the channel CP, signals and methods for their treatment, methods of measuring apparatus of delays, the need to share the results of the measurements).

The equations for determining the true (reference) values and shear assessment scales, as well as the expression for the total uncertainty of the active SA (see Figure 1) will be:

\[ \Delta T^{ab} = 0.5\left( t^a - t^b + \tau_p - \tau_p + \tau_{t_{ppm}} + \tau_{t_{ppm}} - \tau_{t_{ppm}} - \tau_{t_{ppm}} + \tau_{t_{ppm}} \right); \]
\[ \Delta T^{ab} = 0.5\left( t^a - t^b + \tau_p - \tau_p + \tau_{t_{ppm}} + \tau_{t_{ppm}} - \tau_{t_{ppm}} - \tau_{t_{ppm}} + \tau_{t_{ppm}} \right); \]
\[ \delta^{ab} = 0.5\left( \sigma^a - \sigma^b \right) \]

where \( \sigma^a \), \( \sigma^b \) - SD ETR signals in paragraphs a and b.

Channel components and hardware errors from equations (1), (2) and (3) can not be determined on the basis of statistical processing of measurement results shift the scales, the error estimate corresponds to the standard uncertainty of type A [4]

\[ u_x^{ab} = \sigma _{x,\text{SD}}^{ab} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma^a + \sigma^b \right) \]

Since the SD error of (4) can be determined by statistical processing of measurement results shift the scales, the error estimate corresponds to the standard uncertainty of type A [4]

\[ u_x^{ab} = \sigma _{x,\text{SD}}^{ab} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma^a + \sigma^b \right) \]
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